

### 26TH ANNUAL MARCH FOR LIFE, RECURRENT AND DRAMATIC FAITHFUL WITNESS

Although Washington, DC, had been soaked with rain the day before, the weather forecasts for rain on this day proved inaccurate, and 150,000 dedicated Pro-Lifers gathered on the muddy grounds of the Ellipse south of the White House, on a mild dry day, on Jan. 22, 1999, for the 26th Annual March for Life, as reported by national columnist Thomas A. Droleskey.

This event is sponsored by the **March for Life Education and Defense Fund**, begun by attorney **Nellie Gray** on the first anniversary of the tragic *Roe v. Wade* decision, Jan. 22, 1974. It remains the largest consistent annual public manifesting of commitment in the history of the United States.

As usual, other events planned and executed by various Pro-Lifers surrounding the March included the 16th Annual Rose Banquet on Jan. 21st there at the Capital, and the Annual Mass for Life, attended by 7000 Catholics at the Basilica of the National Shrine of the Immaculate Conception on the evening of the 21st.

Gary Bauer family

As is the case each year, hundreds of people slept in sleeping bags in the crypt of the National Shrine. Scores of others stayed up all night long to make reparation for

the sins of abortion and euthanasia.

The Mass at the Basilica was celebrated by 5 Cardinals, 25 Bishops, and nearly 100 Priests. During the 20 minute procession of clergy at the beginning of the Mass, **Cardinal Bernard Law, Archbishop of Boston**, commented to **Cardinal Keeler**, in his admiration for the faithfulness and persistence of the Pro-Life faithful: "Isn't this something!"

And indeed it is, for those of you who have had the good fortune to experience it personally. For those of you who haven't, we recommend it highly. And for those who are on the other side, or who are frightened or discouraged, or who have been intimidated into a sinful "neutrality," we offer the observation that truth always prevails, regardless of how long it takes, and the corollary principle that it is our privilege — and duty — to be the outspoken defender of truth, always and everywhere.

### PRO-LIFE MOVEMENT HAS A VIABLE CANDIDATE IN THE PRESIDENTIAL RACE

Right-to-Lifers were excited to learn that "local-boy-makes-good," Newport, Ky., native **Gary Bauer**, is formally entering the Presidential race for the year 2000 election. Bauer of course is a well-known figure both locally and nationally.

After graduating from Georgetown, Ky. College, he then went on to law school, and then into public life. He served as Undersecretary of Education under **Pres. Ronald Reagan**, and then was appointed by Reagan as Chief Domestic Adviser to the President, and met regularly with the Cabinet.

At the expiration of Reagan's second term he began a ten year tenure as **President of Family Research Council**, a Pro-Family, Pro-Life organization in Washington, DC, and continued his long-term close relationship with **Dr. James Dobson of Focus on the Family**.

In this issue...

26TH ANNUAL MARCH FOR LIFE, RECURRENT & DRAMATIC FAITHFUL WITNESS.....	1
GARY BAUER, PRO-LIFE PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE .....	1
TRULY, AND EFFECTIVELY, PRO-LIFE, 100%: AMERICAN LIFE LEAGUE 2	
THE WAGES OF COMPROMISE IS DEFEAT .....	4
NKRTL BECOMES LOCAL AFFILIATE WITH AMERICAN LIFE LEAGUE ...	6
WHEN IS EUTHANASIA NOT EUTHANASIA?.....	9
WHEN IS "PERJURY" NOT "PERJURY"? .....	10
"TOLERANCE" — TODAY'S ELEVENTH COMMANDMENT .....	11
CONGRESSMAN KEN LUCAS — A POSSIBLE CONVERT .....	11

***Committed, Courageous,  
Principled and Broadbased***

Those of you who saw Gary's interview on "Meet the Press" on Jan. 31 were reminded of the obvious qualifications this man has for any high office. He answered unflinchingly, and with logic and charity, but uncompromisingly, all of the questions put to him with a design to marginalize him. When asked what would be the agenda of a President Bauer, he responded with several items, but concluded by saying that the restoration of morality to this nation, particularly in the form of the defense of the sanctity of all innocent human life had to be his paramount platform plank. When the interviewer then attempted to make him compromise with this principle, or appear radical, by raising his eyebrows and asking "Does this mean that you would oppose all abortion, even in the cases of rape and incest," Bauer replied directly and unapologetically. Rather than those who are not truly Pro-Life, and are knocked

Contrary to the criticisms of the nay-sayers, Bauer is indeed a serious candidate. His political action committee, Campaign for Working Families, has already raised in the year 1998 \$7.3 million, which ranks him number one of all the prospective Republican candidates in the race financially, so he has shown his ability to raise the necessary money to run for this office. His public experience and articulate balance is demonstrated, and he is respected even by the liberal press who treat him with the respect that his character and candor indeed calls for. He has the strong built-in natural base of Pro-Family and Pro-Life organizations across this nation, including Dr. Dobson's Focus on the Family, the American Family Association, and the strong national selfless and dedicated Pro-Life network of organizations from coast to coast.

**It appears that Pro-Lifers now have a serious candidate that they can support wholeheartedly and without fear that he will undercut the true Pro-Life position, as has tragically happened so often before by compromising politicians, who place Party and self-interest above principle.**

***TRULY, AND EFFECTIVELY, PRO-LIFE,  
100%: AMERICAN LIFE LEAGUE***

One will be genuinely and dramatically moved by reading American Life League monthly publication "Celebrate Life," and reflecting upon how sad it was that so many Pro-Lifers send their money to compromising organizations which actually undercut the Pro-Life

off balance by such questions, Bauer temperately and appropriately responded that however tragic those situations are, they do not justify the killing of an innocent baby.

The next divisive tactic attempted by the interviewer was again to make him either compromise on principle, or divide him from his Republican Party, by asking him if he would guarantee to support the nominee of the Republican Party for President, even if it turned out not to be Bauer. Bauer responded, candidly but intelligently, that he would certainly anticipate that he would be able to support the nominee of the Republican Party, but went on to say that if, tragically, the Party nominated someone who did not have a fully Pro-Life position, he would be unable to endorse that person, although he did not anticipate such eventuality.

***A Serious Candidate***

**Movement and contribute to the political weaseling so wide spread in our culture today.**

**It seemed that perhaps one of the most useful things to do in this month's Newsletter would be to publish some brief excerpts from several of the dramatic, educational and inspirational articles in that magazine.**

***Sworn to Uphold the Spirit of Christ***

*by US Sen. John D. Ashcroft of MO*

"The day I was sworn into the Senate in 1995, my family and friends gathered at a house not far from the Capitol for quiet reflection and prayer. ... 'John,' Dad said, 'please listen carefully. The spirit of Washington is arrogance, and the spirit of Christ is humility. Put on the spirit of Christ. Nothing of lasting value has ever been accomplished in arrogance.'

"As we tried to absorb my Dad's wisdom, I suggested we share a moment of solemn prayer. My friends and family began to gather around me, but I noticed that my father was struggling to get off of the sofa. I said, 'Dad, you do not need to struggle to stand.' My father turned to me and said, 'Son, I'm not struggling to stand. I am struggling to kneel.' ...

"People in Washington continually struggle to stand and be heard and rarely struggle to kneel and listen to the eternal truths. But we have the power to bring about change in government. We can insist on leadership that calls Americans to their highest and best and does not accommodate them at their lowest and least. And we can elect leaders who share our values; leaders who are animated by the spirit of Christ, not the spirit of arrogance."

***The Bitter Price of "Choice"***

*by Frederica Mathews-Green*

“There is a last and still more terrible cost to abortion, one that we have not yet faced. We have treated the loss of our fetuses as a theoretical loss, a sad-but-necessary loss, as of civilians in wartime. We have not yet realized that the offspring lost are not the enemy’s, nor our neighbor’s, but our own. And it is not a loss of inert, amorphous tissue, but of a growing being, unique in history. There are no generic zygotes. The one-cell fertilized ovum is a new individual, the present form of a tall blue-eyed girl, for example, with Grandad’s red hair and Great-Aunt Ida’s singing voice. Look at any family, see how the traits and characteristics run down the generations in a stream. Did we really think our own children would be different?”

### ***Murder is Never “Pro-Life”***

*by Michael Conroy, Legal Action for Women*

“It is wrong to take a life. The abortionist who kills a baby is wrong, but so is the one who aborts the life of the abortionist.

“As for those of us who speak up for those who cannot speak for themselves, we must not be cowed into silence. We must be bold, regardless of the personal consequences.

“Already, my pro-life position has cost me personally. A pro-abortion loan officer changed my credit report to deny me a mortgage. I was fired by a hospital I worked at four years ago after a string of bombings in Pensacola in 1984.

“Vicky and I were threatened by pro-abortion activists on the street immediately after the deaths of Dr. John Britton and James Barrett. Thankfully, the police got between us and them to diffuse the situation.

“But I still can’t praise the murder of abortionists.

“I also, however, refuse to apologize for any of my actions. I have not pulled any triggers. I have not set any bombs. I have not created an ‘atmosphere of violence.’

“We make a mistake when we wring our hands and essentially admit to guilt. What masterful spin! The abortion industry and pro-abortion media manage to coerce confessions of guilt from sidewalk counselors and loving pro-life Christians for crimes they neither approve of nor even have knowledge of.

“We can’t be overly concerned about how we are portrayed in the media. The truth is a subjective thing to most reports. We can only be concerned with our attitudes, and with being consistently pro-life — for the babies, the infirm and yes, even the abortionists.”

### ***Assisted Suicide — Caring or Killing***

*by Nancy Valko, RN*

“I am a nurse and I will do anything for my patients — except kill them. I cannot fail to be outraged that soon nurses like me may be required to relinquish our principles and helplessly watch (or even assist) as our patients are terminated as if they were convicted murderers. ...

“Mike, 17 years old, was admitted to my ICU with devastating injuries and comatose following a car crash. He could not breathe or even respond to pain. The surgeon examined him and pronounced, ‘This boy will not live through the night, which is actually a good thing because otherwise he would be a vegetable.’ I was shocked by the doctor’s bluntness particularly in front of the patient. But I was told that I was silly to worry about a patient who was as good as dead.

“But Mike didn’t die that night. For weeks I talked to him, left his TV on during the day, and encouraged his family to tell him any news. All the nurses eventually participated. Finally, one day Mike moved a finger. We asked him to do it again, and he did! ...The brain surgeon told us he thought we were getting too emotionally involved with the patient.

“Undaunted, we continued to talk to Mike. He continued to show signs of progress. ...

“Two years later a handsome young man walked into our unit and said, ‘Hi! Remember me?’ Of course, we didn’t until he revealed that he was Mike. He thanked us for saving his life and told us he had made a complete recovery and was going to be married. ...

“Mike taught us an important lesson that day. We can never write a person off as a ‘vegetable.’ Every person deserves respect as a human being. We also learned that caring and commitment can produce a miracle.

“Thereafter, every new nurse in our unit was told that we should treat all comatose patients as if they were totally awake, with respect and explanations even if they did not respond. We were thrilled to see more patients ‘wake up.’

“Sadly, assisted suicide is nothing more than sanitized violence offered as a panacea to a society profoundly uncomfortable with the reality of death and disability. Instead of legalizing a ‘right to be killed,’ society needs to protect its most vulnerable members from exploitation and the rest of us from our own worst instincts.”

### ***Homily given by Cardinal John J. O’Connor,***

***Archbishop of New York***

“With so many victims, it has become disturbingly easy for some to lose sight of the ultimate cost that lies at the tragic core of the Kevorkian ... juggernaut. To date, we count at least 109 of them (there may be more). Here are a few of their stories.

“**Janet Adkins**, aged 54, had been diagnosed with ‘early Alzheimer’s’ when she flew to Michigan in 1990 to become Kevorkian’s first victim. Few know that it was not Janet, but her husband who contacted Kevorkian — it was he who took care of all the arrangements. Adkins was still vigorous at the time — she beat her son at tennis just prior to leaving for Michigan. ...

“**Joseph Tushkowski**, aged 45: The body of homicide victim Joseph Tushkowski underwent ‘a bizarre mutilation,’ proclaimed Dr. Dragovic, who conducted the autopsy. According to Dragovic, after lethally injecting Tushkowski, the mutilators crudely ripped out his kidneys without even bothering to remove the dead man’s clothes. They simply lifted up his sweater, did their dirty work and tied off the blood vessels with twine. Months earlier, Kevorkian and Fieger had appeared at a press conference promising to begin harvesting organs from assisted-suicide victims. True to their word, Kevorkian and Fieger’s law partner Schwartz offered Tushkowski’s kidneys, ‘first come, first served.’ There were no takers. ...

“It was Christ our King, the Lord of Life, Himself dying to give us life, who because of this and only because of this was able to say to the good thief on His right, ‘This day you will be with me in paradise.’”

### ***Who is Self-Righteous?***

*By Joseph Sobran*

“The pro-life movement is often accused of being ‘self-righteous.’ This word is rarely defined, and it’s rarely directed at advocates of abortion and homosexuality. ...

“Any fair observer would have to admit that such terms as *self-righteous*, *zealot*, and *extremist* are applied rather one-sidedly these days. The ways these words are used in the media begs the whole question of which side is right in the heated debates over social issues. ...

“The truth is that pro-lifers are, by and large, the very opposite of self-righteous. On the contrary, they know they are sinners, like everyone else. They expect to be judged by God’s law, against which they fall short. That’s why they pray for mercy.

“True self-righteousness consists in denying your tendency to sin. The person who rejects a higher law than his own appetite or desire, and who condemns those who uphold a higher law, is self-righteous in the deepest sense. ...

“The apostles of the New Morality expect the rest of us to abandon a moral code thousands of years old, to ignore our own consciences, and to submit to a new fashion we find not only repugnant but impossible. Abortion and sodomy are destructive and degrading. ...

“New York’s annual Gay Pride parade makes a point of obscene and sacrilegious demonstrations as it passes St. Patrick’s Cathedral, with vile personal insults to Cardinal O’Connor. This regular outrage has never been condemned by the liberal spokesmen for ‘tolerance.’ It isn’t condemned as extremism, bigotry, or hate. New York’s politicians pretend not to notice it. The *New York Times* neither reports it as news nor comments on it in its editorials. Prominent ‘gay’ spokesmen around the country never deprecate it.

“Self-righteousness can go no further.”

### ***“Pro-Choice” is Just a Polite Way of Saying***

#### ***“Pro-Abortion”***

*by Marianne M. Jennings*

“Abortion discussions are the debates of the genteel using only words of choice, so to speak. ...

“Abortion advocates’ strident rights arguments have caused a chilling disrespect for life. Infants are fetuses. Kicking legs are not life if the head is still in the womb. Murder as a solution to pregnancy has become an increasingly viable, as it were, option. Murders of children less than one week old increased 92 percent between 1973 (*Roe* decision date) and 1996. Open a trash bin in Los Angeles and you’ll find warning labels about not dumping infants and a phone number for adoptions. ...

“**Amy Grossberg** and **Brian Peterson** confessed to killing their baby after Grossberg urged Peterson to ‘get rid of it.’ **Melissa Drexler** had her baby in June 1997 during her high school prom, tossed the baby into the restroom garbage, and returned to boogie the night away. In September 1997, a dog in Michigan culled an infant girl from the trash and, carrying the lifeless body in its mouth, brought her birth and death to the attention of authorities. **Tammy Christina Dube** gave birth to a baby girl and then asked her sister to ‘bring me a knife so I can kill this thing.’ **Judy Martinez** of Queens watched her baby drown in the toilet. **Melissa Seaner**, 17, had her baby at the beach and brought him home in a duffel bag before tossing both into the garbage.

“These are not the acts of incompetent physicians or fearful women. These are the acts of cold-hearted souls rendered immune from conscience due to 25 years of legalized abortion. Phrasing it as delicately as possible, pro-choice has given us the killing fields of America.”

### ***I Once was Blind but Now I See***

*Letter to the Editor by Rhoda Easley, RN*

“For many years, I had ‘eyes that see but don’t see’ and ‘ears that hear, but don’t hear.’ I worked as a health care

professional in the area of obstetrics and gynecology. In my 'blindness,' I worked with physicians who prescribed oral contraceptives, inserted intrauterine devices and Norplant systems, performed sterilizations, artificial inseminations and referred clients to abortion clinics and Planned Parenthood. I counseled on the use of contraceptives, encouraging the contraceptive mentality. At the same time, I wore a crucifix around my neck and greeted Catholic clients cheerfully and enthusiastically (as many of them chose a method of contraception).

"One day, through the mercy of God, the blind eyes saw and the deaf ears heard, and I saw myself in the light of truth who is Jesus Christ. I was sickened. I realized that my profession and religion are inseparable. If I am a nurse, I am not a nurse and a Catholic; I am a Catholic nurse. I must live as Christ lived. I resigned my position, afraid of change, but trusted in God's mercy. It has not been easy at times, but Jesus Christ is now the center of my life."

### **Double Standard**

*Letter to the Editor by Thomas W. Herman*

"The Spartans and other tribes exposed 'defective' children and gender-unwanted children, and we call them barbarians. We kill babies in the womb (and nearly out of the womb) for any reason, including convenience, and we call ourselves civilized."

### **U-NI-TY: Is There a Place for Tolerance?**

*by Judie Brown, American Life League President*

**"Tol-er-ance:** a fair and objective attitude toward those whose opinions, practices and characteristics differ from our own; open-mindedness.

"Within the pro-life movement there are hundreds of groups embracing the common goal of ending the senseless slaughter of innocent human beings. ...

"So what are we to do about those in our midst, including elected officials, who make mincemeat of this standard by embracing exceptions, or by claiming that they take no position on 'contraception' (chemical abortions). Do we tolerate their opinions, their public statements and their actions embracing 'a little bit' of abortion? ...

"In her column for the *Washington Times Weekly Edition*, **Dr. Laura Schlessinger** wrote, 'we have created a unique society in which those who do bad things are shown compassion and understanding, and those who point out the badness and expect consequences and justice are called judgmental and mean. I believe this attitude is so pervasive because it provides a huge gray area in which no one has to assume any responsibility for his or her actions and all are immune from annoying judgment.'

"For me, no apology is needed when I point out to my fellow pro-lifers that every act of abortion is murder. Every act of abortion destroys a human being created in the image and likeness of God. And no matter whether the act is defended because we have to be realistic in politics, because there are too many people who practice birth control, the fight is impossible to win, or because you are just throwing your vote away if you vote for a third party candidate, even if he is the only one who opposes all abortion, the result is the same: we are being tolerant of murder and that is totally unacceptable!

"True unity, you see, is reflected in our ability to serve God always, and to never tolerate evil."

### **HOW TO SUBSCRIBE TO ALL'S "CELEBRATE LIFE"**

**Are you still sending your money to organizations that support pro-abortion candidates for public office, like National Right to Life, or Kentucky Right to Life? Have you come to the realization that the wages of compromise is defeat, as evidenced by the failures of those compromise tactics over the past 26 years? (See related story *infra*, containing specifics on endorsements of pro-abort candidates by supposedly Pro-Life organization, and the disastrous consequences thereof.)**

**Are you interested in receiving undiluted truthful information on the whole spectrum of the true Pro-Life issues — the assault upon innocent human life by abortion, euthanasia, infanticide, assisted suicide, and contraception (chemical abortions)?**

Send a check for \$12.95 payable to **American Life League, P.O. Box 1350, Stafford, VA 22555**. You'll be glad you did!

### **THE WAGES OF COMPROMISE IS DEFEAT**

**Cincinnati Right to Life**, in a recent Newsletter, commented upon the passage by the Kentucky General Assembly of 3 Pro-Life Bills and specifically extended its "congratulations to **Kentucky Right to Life**, to **Margie Montgomery**, their Executive Director ..."

Because of the serious risk of misleading scandal to the faithful, we are compelled to point out the dramatic differences between the compromising organization of Kentucky Right to Life, which has direct responsibility for many of our legislative defeats over the past many years, and on the other hand **Kentucky Coalition for Life**, which consists of **Northern Kentucky Right to Life, Friends for Life of Ashland, Action**

**Life League of Western Kentucky and Capital Right to Life of Frankfort, Ky.**, which latter organizations have direct responsibility for passage of these Pro-Life Bills.

### ***A Comparison of the Record of Endorsements***

**A review of the endorsements of KRLA over the past several years is quite instructive.**

In 1990, for example, KRLA endorsed incumbent **State Repr. William McBee of Boone County**, who had at best a mixed voting record on Pro-Life issues, over 100% Pro-Life activist **Gex Williams**. Williams, with the help of NKRTL-PAC, won a narrow victory, and has, for the past 8 years been the prime Pro-Life leader in the General Assembly, first in the House, and then later in the Senate. He would not have been there, if KRLA had its way.

In 1994 KRLA jointly endorsed for the vacant seat from the **2nd Congressional District** in Western Ky. both **Ron Lewis (R)** and **Joe Prather (D)**. Prather had just chaired, unsuccessfully, thank God, the gubernatorial candidacy of **Steven Beshear**, former state legislator with a pro-abortion record, and former atty. gen. of Ky. who refused even to perform the constitutional duty of that office in defending a Pro-Life Bill challenged in court. Fortunately, Lewis won, and has had a 100% Pro-Life voting record in Congress since.

In that same year, KRLA endorsed both **Tom Wiethorn (D)** and **Katie Stine (R)** for a vacant House of Representative seat from Campbell County, Ky. NKRTL-PAC endorsed Stine, whose answers to our Pro-Life questionnaire were 100%. We furnished to KRLA before their endorsement a photocopy of Wiethorn's pro-abortion answers to the questionnaire of the **Kentucky Chapter of the National Association of Social Workers**, wherein he indicated that pro-abortion organization that he would not actively oppose the "woman's right to abortion," and also told them that he favored "the right of females from puberty onward to have access to comprehensive reproductive information on the right to possess the technology or equipment (i.e., condoms, diaphragms, etc.)." We also reported to them that the *Courier Journal* stated that Wiethorn indicated in an interview to them that he opposed any constitutional ban on abortion. In addition, Wiethorn had supported pro-abortionists for public office.

Fortunately, Stine won, with NKRTL-PAC's endorsement, and has become a prime leader in the Kentucky House of Representatives for the Pro-Life issues, being the prime sponsor of the Woman's Right to Know Bill, which just successfully passed the General Assembly earlier this year.

Also in 1994, KRLA endorsed **Sen. Bob Leeper (D)**, the incumbent, over a Pro-Life Republican even though Leeper had voted to kill the Pro-Life legislation in the Ky. Senate Judiciary Committee in 1992. It also endorsed **Sen. David Boswell (D)** over a Pro-Life Republican, and **Sen. Dan Seum**

**(D)**, an incumbent, over a Pro-Life Republican, both of which latter candidates also voted to kill Pro-Life legislation in the Judiciary Committee.

### ***Actions Do Have Consequences***

All 3 of these Senators were re-elected and all subsequently voted in 1997, to keep as President of the Senate the stridently pro-abortion **Sen. Eck Rose**, when there was a takeover effort of the Senate by a Coalition of Republicans and Democrats committed to freeing up these Bills for Floor debate and vote. That takeover, led by Sen. Gex Williams (previously endorsed by NKRTL-PAC and opposed by KRLA), was successful with the result that 3 Pro-Life Bills passed in 1998, for the first time in years.

Ironically, the major reason for Rose's ouster in the Senate was the defeat in November of 1996 of **State Sen. Joe Meyer (D) of Covington**, who consistently proclaimed his Pro-Life beliefs, but consistently voted with the leadership of his Party in the Senate, stridently pro-abortion, to tie up Pro-Life Bills in committee. One of his votes in 1992 was cast even against a Pro-Life Bill upon which he was the prime sponsor! When Meyer was defeated in 1996, this brought the balance between the Republicans and Democrats to but one vote separating them, and resulted in the negotiations for a bipartisan group to take over leadership of the Senate, resulting in a commitment that Bills would be heard in committee, and voted upon on the Floor of the Senate, with the direct result of the passage of these Pro-Life Bills.

Interestingly enough, in 1992 when NKRTL-PAC was attempting to defeat Meyer for his pro-abortion votes earlier that year, KRLA voted to endorse Meyer. KRLA rescinded that vote after they received an uproar from the rest of the state, including a letter from one of the strong Pro-Life leaders in the Senate, **Tim Philpot of Lexington**, who told them that Meyer and the pro-aborts were laughing at KRLA and concluded: "Margie, Kentucky Right to Life needs to wake up!"

Nevertheless, although KRLA withdrew its endorsement of Meyer, it refused to endorse his Pro-Life opponent, **Dick Murgatroyd**, who had been endorsed by NKRTL-PAC, leading to some misleading deception in the local press in Northern Kentucky, and resulting in a very narrow Meyer re-election victory. Murgatroyd was subsequently elected to the Ky. House of Representatives, and has had a 100% Pro-Life voting record there. Meyer has gone on to be defeated in 1996, resulting in the 1997 takeover of the Senate, and the 1998 passage of the Pro-Life legislation.

NKRTL-PAC has on several occasions endeavored to persuade KRLA not to endorse in races in No. Ky., or at minimum to consult with us concerning the information we have on the candidates, but KRLA has consistently declined to do so.

**In fact, at open meetings among legislators in Frankfort, KRLA leadership has openly stated that it does**

**not expect its legislators to oppose their Party leadership for the sake of supporting Pro-Life legislation.** And the result has been that its legislators have not, and continue to get KRLA endorsements, which is why there is such a strong cadre of pro-abortion votes coming in the General Assembly coming from Louisville, Ky., the base of KRLA.

Typical of the type of Louisville representation in the Kentucky General Assembly is **David K. Karem (D), Majority Floor Leader of the Ky. State Senate**, whose recent Letter to the Editor in the 5/17/98 *Louisville Courier Journal* discusses his Catholicism, while simultaneously attacking the exclusion of our pro-abortion governor, **Paul Patton**, by **Covington Bishop Robert Muench** as an invited guest speaker at the **Covington Diocesan Catholic Children's Home** fundraiser. Karem decries that the Covington Diocese "has publicly insulted our governor because they disagree with some of his politics," and deplores the fact that "some corner of that world now subsist joylessly in the darkness of rigidity, intolerance and a stale dogma of exclusivity," and recommends that "it's time to rethink the morality of some of the things we do in service of supposedly higher truth."

If the condemnation of the destruction of 4000 innocent unborn babies per day in the U.S. is not a "higher truth," one wonders what is. I think we can be certain that if the Diocese invited in as a guest speaker a leader of the Ku Klux Klan, or an environmental polluter, that Mr. Karem would not be speaking of "rigidity, intolerance and a stale dogma of exclusivity" in the disinviting of such a person. It is rather obvious while Mr. Karem would recognize the validity of some "disqualifying issues," the destruction of the most innocent members of the human family do not rise to that level of importance in his opinion — all too typical of the Louisville delegation to the Ky. General Assembly, including people endorsed by KRLA.

For example, KRLA has endorsed **Sen. Dan Seum**, who refused to support our Discharge Petition to compel Pro-Life legislation to be brought to the Floor, even though he supported a Discharge Petition against his own Party leadership on a motorcycle helmet bill! And even for his confused priorities, KRLA endorses Sen. Seum.

**The confusing message sent by KRLA has been directly responsible for many Pro-Life defeats in the legislature.**

### ***Companions in Compromise***

But it is not surprising to see KRLA praised by Cincinnati RTL — both members and disciples of the compromising National Right to Life Committee.

Both KRLA and CRTL endorsed for President last year, **Sen. Bob Dole**, telling us that he was "Pro-Life," in spite of his strong pro-abortion record.

This is the same Bob Dole who earlier this year in Illinois opposed a Pro-Life Republican and supported a strong pro-abortion Republican, and who then when interviewed in Cincinnati stated: "There must be no litmus test in the Republican Party. You can be pro-choice and be a Republican." Maybe **Vice Pres. Al Gore** was (half) right in his most recent gaffe when he said "Leopards can't change their stripes"!

Some years ago, CRTL opposed the candidacy for Cincinnati City Council of long-time activist Pro-Lifer **Jim Condit**, and endorsed instead **Jerry Springer** - yes, the Jerry Springer, former mayor who even at the time of that election had been convicted of soliciting services of a prostitute and who, when elected, then (predictably) broke his promise and appointed pro-aborts to the Cincinnati Health Board.

**To adopt the words of the well-known song "When will they ever learn, when will they ever learn?"**

### ***NKRTL BECOMES LOCAL AFFILIATE WITH AMERICAN LIFE LEAGUE***

While retaining its own independence and autotomy, Northern Kentucky Right to Life Committee is pleased to announce its affiliation with one of the few totally principled, uncompromising national Pro-Life organizations, **American Life League**.

NKRTL has for many years been supportive of American Life League, and vice versa, and has shared the mutual attitude of total commitment to principle, without compromise.

### ***A Pro-Life Pilgrimage***

**Judie Brown's Pro-Life Pilgrimage**, like that of many others of us, began more than a quarter of a century ago, even before the infamous Supreme Court decision of Roe v. Wade legalized abortion nationwide, for the entire 9 months of prenatal life. Many of us, Judie included, were fighting the battle on a state by state basis, opposing the pro-death community's efforts in the state legislatures.

This story is indeed a roller coaster, with many dips and bends, not always pleasant, nor always successful, nor without mistakes — but it needs to be looked at clearly and objectively, **AS IT HIGHLIGHTS TRUTH**, which will be essential to our success. **And it is a hope-filled journey.**

Early in Judie's book *It Is I Who Have Chosen You*, she describes the wandering by herself and her husband from their Faith, their practice of contraception, their ultimate return to the Church, and their unsuccessful opposition to permissive abortion in 1970 in Washington State.

and if they have the hardy duty to them for it; they do not belong to the world any more than I belong to the world. I do not ask you to take them out of the world, but to guard them from the evil one. They are not of the world, any more than I belong to the world. Consecrate them by means of truth — 'Your word is truth.' As you have sent me into the world, so I have sent them into the world; I consecrate myself for their sakes now, that they may be consecrated in truth." John 17:14-19

Paul and Judie stayed faithful to their Pro-Life commitments as volunteers, through their moves around the United States, secondary to his

business career, through a stint in Ohio, serving as a volunteer with **Ohio Right to Life**, through a business move took them to Washington, DC. There, she ventured into the **National Right to Life Office** and met its then-president, **Dr. Mildred Jefferson**, with its then "two-person staff."

RCC Pix

**Ellen McCormack's Presidential Campaign**

She describes the valiant and highly productive Presidential campaign of **Pro-Lifer Ellen McCormack** in 1976, which literally opened a lot of doors to the public for Pro-Lifers. Many will recall that we had an active and successful campaign for Ellen here in Kentucky, which **NKRTL Pres. Robert C. Cetrulo** was privileged to chair. In fact, she came within 100 votes, in the Northern Kentucky portion of this congressional district, of defeating Jimmy Carter in the Democratic Primary, when he was endorsed by the governor, county judge, and all the political powers. She, in fact, defeated other well-known national politicians in that same primary: **Gov. Wallace of Alabama, Sen. Udall of Utah**, etc. Of major support in the local effort was the pastoral message of then **Covington Bishop Richard H. Ackerman**, who told the faithful that they could not morally vote for a person who was wrong on this issue. **Bishop Ackerman then later referred to the Pro-Life effort of NKRTL as "the Covington Diocese's finest hour."**

**National Right to Life Committee**

Dr. Jefferson immediately recognized the marvelous combination of Judie's past business experiences and her dynamic and motivated commitment to the Pro-Life cause and induced Judie to come on board on the staff, which she did for several years. Judie then candidly describes the problems developing in NRLC, known and experienced by many others of us active Pro-Lifers around the country: "At the same time, I became more aware of various internal struggles at NRLC. When Dr. Jefferson left in June 1978, I realized the degree to which she had been protecting me from NRLC's office politics. ...By early 1979, it was clear to me that I simply had to leave. In addition to the various other hassles, I found myself increasingly unable to support NRLC's views on a number of key issues. As far as I was concerned, there was no such thing as compromise when you were trying to save babies. That was the lesson I had learned from people such as Nellie Gray, Mildred Jefferson, Fr. Paul Marx, Dr. Murray Norris, Dr.

Charles Rice, and other prominent national pro-life spokesmen. But NRLC was dismayingly silent on such subjects as abortifacient birth control devices, and it endorsed a political strategy that left the door open to abortion in certain cases. **...We must be unflinchingly consistent in our philosophy, or we lose all credibility and moral force."**

**The Polestar of TRUTH Continued to Lead Judie**

Judie and some like-minded uncompromising Pro-Lifers then founded **American Life League**, which, after very modest beginnings, has grown to become one of the major, totally principled, uncompromising national Pro-Life organizations, along with **Human Life International**, and **Nellie Gray's March for Life Committee**. It was the March for Life Committee which initiated the first memorial, on January 22, 1974, of the tragic Roe v. Wade decision (after NRLC had considered and rejected doing the project) and has successfully perpetuated large numbers of faithful pilgrims annually thereafter in excess of 100,000 persons each year, through blizzards and snowstorms.

Ellen McCormack Pix

**Compromise — And Its Fruit**

The next occasion presented for compromise — and rejected by Judie Brown and American Life League (and Northern Kentucky Right to Life and other orthodox non-compromising Pro-Life organizations) — was the **Hatch Amendment**. This ill-conceived compromise was "hatched" by NRLC and the U.S. Catholic Conference. The argument advanced for this approach was that it would attract those members of Congress like Ted Kennedy and Joseph Biden who had not supported any other constitutional amendment to outlaw abortion, since this proposal would return the matter to the states, who could then decide whether or not to prohibit abortion. Serious Pro-Lifers of course immediately recognized that God alone is the author of human life — not Kentucky, nor New York, nor Arizona, and that it would be obscene for Pro-Lifers to advocate the inscribing in the Constitution of the principle that "the state giveth and the state may taketh away." The compromise predictably failed, being rejected by true Pro-Lifers, as well as by the true pro-aborts such as the Kennedys and Bidens, who masquerade as "moderates."

As **Notre Dame Law Professor Charles Rice** has noted, the Pro-Life Movement then began a down slide because of this division over principle. This same phenomenon is noted in Judie's book, **"But the fact of the matter was that political action in the pro-life arena was weakening, diluted by the compromising attitudes of so many leaders**

**and groups. It had reached the point where politicians could get away with adopting the 'pro-life' label merely by opposing taxpayer funding of abortion, without expressing any commitment to the absolute personhood of the preborn child."**

And, as with most human endeavors, things get worse, before they get better. In 1988, the "Pro-Life" candidate was touted to be **George Bush**, who favored a law of capital punishment for the innocent — the killing of the unborn child for the crime of the father (rape and incest). Judie points out in her book: **"And more and more pro-life people were buying the argument that 'something' was better than 'nothing.' The result was that the political clout of the pro-life movement had been severely diluted. ALL's position was clear and uncompromising: We would give no political action committee endorsement to any candidate who did not stand fore-square for the principle of personhood — for equal protection for all the babies."**

The fruit of the tree has now blossomed. This present U.S. Supreme Court, consisting almost overwhelmingly of Republican appointees (John Paul Stevens appointed by Pres. Ford, Sandra Day O'Connor appointed by Pres. Reagan, David Souter and Anthony Kennedy appointed by Pres. Bush), has given us the decision in *Webster v. Reproductive Health Services*. Judie points out that "...the Court adopted one of the wimpiest, trendiest positions imaginable — 'it's true for you, if you believe it' — and applied it to one of the most pressing issues imaginable: the definition of when human life begins. In effect, it made the personhood of the preborn child a matter of opinion — and of political horse-trading."

ALL drafted ten different legislative proposals, which affirmed the principle of personhood, and were sustainable within the *Webster* decision. These offered legislators an opportunity to cripple the abortion industry, address the RU-486 problem, etc. ALL constructed a seminar attended by many Pro-Life organizations. NRLC boycotted the meeting. Then, NRLC, based upon a "poll" it had taken, drafted and proposed legislation prohibiting abortion for birth control, but permitting it in cases of "...rape, incest, fetal deformity, and serious threat to the health of the mother."

### ***A Tortuous History***

NKRTL Pres. Cetrulo was privileged to be there, through this entire development, from pre-*Roe v. Wade* during our early efforts organizing NKRTL in Kentucky which successfully defeated the proposed permissive abortion legislation here in 1972, and successfully fought the court attack upon our Pro-Life statute in the Federal Court in that same year! He confirms from his personal observation the accuracy of Judie Brown's assertions, and her disappointments.

He was privileged to be there in Washington, DC, weeks after the *Roe v. Wade* decision of Jan. 22, 1973, as a conference of Pro-Life leaders from across the nation was

convened to begin to address the most appropriate response to this outrageous legal holocaust.

He was privileged to be there in Detroit, MI, at the founding meeting of the National Right to Life Committee (our own local Pro-Life organization already being two years old). There was the unfortunate election of the pro-contraceptive Marjorie Mechenberg of MN, over the more orthodox nominee Mildred Faye Jefferson, MD, a Methodist, and the first black female graduate of Harvard University Medical School.

He watched the early trust placed in NRLC by Pro-Lifers around the nation, but then observed the perfidious compromises of NRLC, detailed by Judie Brown in her informative historical book.

He watched the sad degeneration of NRLC into the unfortunate and meaningless organization that it is, telling us that Bob Dole is "Pro-Life," as it shamelessly accepted \$650,000 from the Republican National Committee, while ignoring Bob Dole's actual record of voting for billions of dollars for the largest abortionist in the world, Planned Parenthood, voting for everyone of these pro-abortion Supreme Court nominees by Pres. Clinton and presidents of his own Party, voting for punitive legislation to punish the peaceful Pro-Life pickets in front of abortion chambers, etc.

### ***The Wages of***

### ***Compromise is Defeat***

Cetrulo was dismayed to be there, and watch the state and local affiliates of NRLC, including Kentucky Right to Life Association, continue to endorse candidates for public office who voted against Pro-Life legislation, voted to bottle it up in committee, etc., until we, NKRTL, successfully broke the dam by the defeat of such a senator, resulting in the takeover of the Kentucky General Assembly by a coalition of Pro-Lifers of both parties, and the passage then of Pro-Life legislation.

### ***A Firm Future***

As has been said before, "the past is prologue."

Judie Brown has appropriately captured the importance of the present moment: **"I offer this account of my pilgrimage to date, in the hope that you may see — even in a life as ordinary as my own — that there is no aspect of life that is without purpose in God's plan. If we will**

allow the Lord to work through us, tremendous things can happen. Each day is a promise from God that life, while we have it, need never be wasted.

“The history of the pro-life movement — your history and mine — continues to be written. As we look to the future, there are some difficult realities we must deal with. It is better to do this sooner than later, so that we can be mentally and spiritually prepared for the challenges that lie ahead. When I look at the miracles the Lord has done in my own life, when I think of what miracles he’s able to do in your life as well, I know that one day the killing will stop. Our Lord is too merciful, and too powerful, for it to be otherwise. But he has chosen to do his work through us and he gives us only what we ask him for.”

### *The True Meaning of Unity*

Lasting unity can be based solely upon truth. John 17:14-19. And so it is with the coalescence of these two strong independent Pro-Life organizations, each of which is indeed grounded in the foundation of unchanging truth. Many of you who attended our most recent Celebration of Life on Oct. 11 at the Drawbridge heard our guest speaker, **Judie Brown**, eloquently explain these simple, but essential, principles.

### **Judie Brown holding baby in the Oct. Judie Brown Report**

We print herewith now a brief exposition of that principle of true unity, from American Life League’s “Judie Brown Report” of October 1998:

**“Unity is not built on consensus. Unity is not embrace of a philosophy that allows for the killing of any babies. Unity is not based on earning human respect. Rather, unity is based on preaching the truth, in season and out, so that we never lose our ability to focus on God’s will.**

For 20 years, American Life League has refused to discriminate against even one of our brothers and sisters, regardless of age, health or condition of dependency. We will not bend from this position.

We celebrate this unity of purpose; we dedicate ourselves to the Lord’s service, and we thank Him for giving us the privilege of standing up for His truth. When this means controversy, we ask Him for the gift of love that we might witness to His truth in a way that calls others to know Him, to love Him and to serve Him.

Join us in our humble, human effort, as we strive to surrender every aspect of what we do to the One who loves us more than we could possibly imagine.”

## **WHEN IS EUTHANASIA NOT EUTHANASIA?**

**A Virginia man who had been severely injured in a 1995 automobile accident died on Oct. 9, after doctors removed the tubes which had provided him with food and water.**

**Hugh Finn** had been in a comatose state since his aorta was severed, depriving his brain of its oxygen supply. His wife, Michelle Finn, wanted the food and water withdrawn, although other family members opposed, pointing out that Finn could occasionally answer verbal questions, and more frequently responded by blinking his eyes. When told he would no longer be able to receive Communion when the feeding stopped, he wept.

Contrary to the assertions of some, Hugh Finn was not a dying man. His bedside medical record discloses that he ate vanilla ice cream on some occasions and cheesecake on others, and that he operated a switch to turn his radio off and on, on command. There is an affidavit from a priest who saw Hugh’s eyes track him around the room when he received the Last Rites.

### **Louisville Archbishop Kelly**

#### **Out of Step with the Church**

The withdrawal of his food and water tubes was approved by **Archbishop Thomas Kelly of Louisville**, where the couple had lived prior to their transfer to Va.

Kelly’s position was hardly consistent with a statement issued by **Pope John Paul II** at Rome on October 2, 1998: **“A great teaching effort is needed to clarify the substantive moral difference between discontinuing medical procedures that may be burdensome, dangerous or disproportionate to the expected outcome — what the ‘Catechism of the Catholic Church’ calls the ‘refusal of “over-zealous” treatment’ — and taking away the ordinary means of preserving life, such as feeding, hydration and normal medical care. ...To blur this distinction is to introduce a source of countless injustices and much additional anguish, affecting both those already suffering from ill health or the deterioration which comes with age, and their loved ones.”**

### ***OK to Starve a Disabled Person,***

#### ***But Not a Healthy One?***

A lawsuit was filed to preserve Finn's life, but the court complied with the wishes of the wife and ordered the hospital to remove the tubes: "Withholding and/or withdrawal of artificial nutrition or hydration from Hugh Finn, a person in a persistent vegetative state, merely permits the natural process

#### **Private Urn cartoon**

of dying and is not mercy killing or euthanasia ..."

But the semantic gymnastics used to justify these cases of euthanasia is exposed in an excellent article by **Helen M. Valois** "Withholding Nutrition and Hydration: Influences of the Culture of Death" published in the January 1999 issue of *Lay Witness*: "Is withholding nutrition and hydration ever justified, either medically or ethically? No. Withholding nutrition and hydration is a form of euthanasia and as such can never be allowed. It also happens to be one of euthanasia's cruelest forms. The rationalizations offered in its defense cannot change these essential facts, nor justify the deliberate taking of innocent human life. ...**Withholding nutrition and hydration is not medical treatment.** For this reason, arguments surrounding the natural vs. extraordinary means of medical treatment have no bearing on the issue. ...Those who choose to withdraw sustenance intend for a person to die.

...Rather than call withholding nutrition and hydration by its right name — *passive euthanasia* — and incur the moral condemnation that rightly goes with it, proponents of the procedure say the omission simply allows someone to die.

...The Missouri Supreme Court's decision on the plight of Nancy Cruzan got it right when it said, 'This is not a case in which we are asked to let someone die. This is a case in which we are asked to allow the medical profession to make Nancy die by starvation and dehydration.' ...Like the euphemisms which the anti-life lobby once coined to divert attention from the reality of abortion ('blob of tissue,' 'termination of pregnancy'), the very phrase 'withholding nutrition and hydration' attempts to draw a semantic veil over an ugly reality. To withhold nutrition and hydration is to starve and dehydrate someone to death."

**The court didn't tell us why it was permissible to starve to death a person with a disability and would not be permissible to starve to death a healthy person. Or perhaps that would be permissible also?**

The court gave no citation of legal or medical precedent to support its bizarre conclusion, or to explain how food and water, clearly human care, have suddenly been converted to medical care. But we must remember that, in *Roe v. Wade* in 1973, the U.S. Supreme Court likewise gave no legal precedent, medical foundation or logical reasoning to support the conclusion that an unborn baby is not a human being, or were any such bases given by the Supreme Court in 1857, in *Dred Scott v. Sandford*, to support its conclusion that blacks have no human rights and are simply property. Nor did the Nazi regime have any foundation for their arbitrary dehumanization of Jews and others in their society.

#### ***As Humpty Dumpty Said,***

***"Words Mean  
Whatever I Want Them to  
Mean"***

The answer to the question posed above is that euthanasia is not euthanasia when the law says it is not. We exist presently in a society based upon civil positivism, which has abandoned the concept of natural law, i.e., that there is a higher authority than man, from Whom man derives all legitimate authority. See for example *Roe v. Wade*, *Dred Scott v. Sandford*, and the Nazi episode — all "legal" decisions made by the highest levels of government. Thus, we are all only that which a secular government defines us to be.

**Cartoon -  
definitional  
dehumanization**

#### ***And Now, the Next S***

#### **Death on Steps Cartoon**

**Dr. Jack Kevoorkian** has now changed his tactics from providing materials and instructions to persons to take their own life, and advising them, to performing the act directly himself. He just delivered to CBS and they showed it on "60 Minutes", Dr. Death directly killing a patient, by administering a legal injection. Although

Kevorkian admitted to the reporter helping 120 previous people commit suicide, he admits this is the first time he himself killed a patient, and he wants authorities to prosecute him for euthanasia in the hopes that the effort would fail and set a legal precedent: "From now on, I'm doing them all that way — it is faster, cleaner and easier," Kevorkian stated.

Kevorkian has been arrested and indicted. We shall see.

## WHEN IS "PERJURY" NOT "PERJURY"?

**Gen. Robert DeZarn** threw a 1990 Preakness Party, and collected political campaign contributions for the gubernatorial candidacy of **Breton Jones**. In June of 1991, he participated in a Belmont Stakes Party, but no campaign contributions were made.

After Jones' election, DeZarn was named Ky. Adjutant General, and he then fired officers who had not contributed to the Jones campaign. During an official army investigation, he was asked whether the "1991 Preakness Party" was a "political fundraising activity," and he answered "absolutely not." He was charged with perjury and at his criminal trial said that the questioner had confused the issue by referring to the "1991 Preakness Party," where in fact the Preakness Party had occurred in 1990. The jurors were not impressed and

### A Teenager

convicted DeZarn.

**On appeal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 6th Circuit said that DeZarn "knew exactly what the questions meant," and should have answered candidly, and that "a defendant may be found guilty of perjury if ... the defendant knew what the question meant and gave knowingly untruthful and materially misleading answers."**

***Is Sauce for the General, Sauce for the President?***

Although the *Kentucky Post* poll showed that 80% of the callers wanted Clinton out of office, national polls seem to suggest to the contrary.

Local sentiment seems to be typified by a Letter to the Editor of the *Kentucky Post* last September:

"The Soccer Mom's Bedtime Story: Listen children: Once there was a king who could not tell the truth. He lied to his queen. He lied to his friends. He lied to his many lovers.

"He even lied to the people of the land, and wagged his finger at them for questioning his honesty.

"One day, thanks to a brave sheriff, he was caught in his lies. He then lied to the judge. And when finally a magic dress forced him to tell the truth, he finally admitted his lies, but not really.

"What happened to the king that lied, Mommy?' the children asked. 'Did he go to jail? Was he punished for his lies?'

"Foolish children! Of course not! He said he was really, really sorry. And that is good enough for your Soccer Mom.

"And the moral of the story? Sorry child, but your mom doesn't believe in morals anymore. Sweet dreams!"

### The Abortion Connection

What, some might ask, is the connection between the obvious perjury of this pro-abortion president, and the Right to Life? The answer of course is that abortion is a lie, and its foundation is a lie, and its consequences are lies.

### "Truth" is Indispensable

In a very interesting recent article by famed constitutional lawyer

**William Bentley Ball**, who successfully represented

persecuted Christians in many cases before the U.S. Supreme Court, entitled "A

Reflection on Truth," Mr. Ball said: "Today, since *Roe v. Wade*, Supreme Court opinions have given birth to a whole national vocabulary of double entendre. Universally we hear of a woman's 'right to choose.' But *choose* what? We will typically be answered: 'To exercise her reproductive rights.' We then press to inquire *what* that means, and now we are presented with yet a third work-mask: 'abortion.' To remove that mask

### Hand in words

we must inquire 'What is abortion?' Here's where our masker somehow gets queasy and wants to clam up, finding it difficult to vomit out the truth: that the 'right to choose' means the right of a woman to *kill* (or have *killed*) her unborn child. It's 'kill' that's the big hang-up. That's the word that can't be uttered; yet killing is all that abortion is. We have laughed at the reticence of Victorians use of graphic language. The cover-up language of our courts in the abortion and assisted suicide cases today, their refusal to tell it like it is, is not laughable, but of deadly effect."

Mr. Ball has just died, and should be remembered in our prayers. So should our nation, we can be certain he would say.

### **"TOLERANCE" — TODAY'S ELEVENTH COMMANDMENT**

With Pres. Clinton's high approval ratings, even in the face of his obvious and serious misconduct, **Ann Landers** said: "People are much more willing to forgive now. They are more permissive. They are more realistic. This is the way life is ... The country has been going in this direction for some time."

**Alan Wolfe, a Boston University sociologist**, who spent several years having in-depth conversations about values with 200 members of the American middle class in 8 separate suburban communities around the country synopsised his findings in a book: "Reluctant to impose their values on others [middle class Americans] are committed to tolerance to such an extent that they have either given up finding timeless morality or would be unwilling to bring its principles down to earth if, by chance, they came across it."

What he found was the absolutizing of refusal to make moral judgments. Middle-class "discomfort with controversy and conflict" is so intense that it comes close to being paralyzing, he reported.

**But is "tolerance" abused, when it means tolerating that which shouldn't be tolerated?** Consider this quote from an interviewee of Wolfe: "Abortion is a moral issue. It has nothing to do with politics. You don't like it, don't get one. I am not a proponent of it. [But] I'm not about to tell you, 'Hey, you're a sinner because you're getting one.' That's not me. I am not here to judge anyone."

As another interviewee suggested, the "Eleventh Commandment" today is "Thou shalt not judge."

These attitudes have found reflection in many polls showing that a majority of Americans agree that abortion is murder, but a majority are unwilling to translate that belief into legislation, protecting innocent human life, thus making "most Americans at once pro-choice and pro-life," says **Everett Carl Ladd, head of Roper Center for Public Opinion Research,**

and **Karlyn H. Bowman of the American Enterprise Institute** in an AEI monograph, *Public Opinion About Abortion*.

### **The Morality of Intolerance**

Obviously, this nation would not be in the difficulty it finds itself, if it followed instead the teaching of a wiser head from yesteryear, the late **Archbishop Fulton J. Sheen**: "**America, it is said, is suffering from intolerance. It is not. It is suffering from tolerance of right and wrong, truth and error, virtue and evil, Christ and chaos. Our country is not nearly so much overrun with the bigoted as it is overrun with the broadminded ... In the face of this broadmindedness, what the world needs is intolerance.**"

### **CONGRESSMAN LUCAS — A POSSIBLE CONVERT**

According to a recent story in the *Kentucky Enquirer*, newly-elected **Congressman Kenneth Lucas** from Northern Kentucky has joined the House's Pro-Life Caucus. The *Enquirer* interviewed **NKRTL Pres. Robert C. Cetrulo** who stated: "I'm excited for him and I'm excited for us. He's taken the first step. But it's a long road ... cosmetics won't be enough. But we are always happy to have converts."

Cetrulo pointed out to the paper that Lucas has a record of total inaction in the Pro-Life Movement in the 28 year history of NKRTL, and, worse than that, supported Pres. Clinton and Gov. Patton, who have vetoed and opposed legislation designed to reduce abortions. In addition, Lucas appointed pro-aborts to the Northern Kentucky Independent District Health Board and, after they voted to use public funds for chemical abortions on minor girls without their parents' consent, ignored NKRTL's letter to him asking him to attend and vote himself, as a member of that Board, and to encourage his appointees to vote Pro-Life.

We shall see if Mr. Lucas takes strong affirmative steps, such as signing up as a co-sponsor for the Human Life Amendment in Congress and bucking the dedicated pro-aborts who control his Party in the House of Representatives, Congr. Gephardt, etc.!

**CrBSG and Charlie Winburn**