

Richie Farmer (R)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
<u>SECRETARY OF STATE</u>												
Alison Lundergan Grimes (D)	Did Not Answer Questionnaire											
Bill Johnson (R)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
<u>ATTORNEY GENERAL</u>												
Jack Conway (D)	Did Not Answer Questionnaire											
Todd P'Pool (R)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
<u>AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS</u>												
Adam H. Edelen (D)	Did Not Answer Questionnaire											
John T. Kemper III (R)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
<u>STATE TREASURER</u>												
L.J. "Todd" Hollenbach (D)	Did Not Answer Questionnaire											
K.C. Crosbie (R)	Did Not Answer Questionnaire											
<u>COMMISSIONER OF AGRICULTURE</u>												
Robert "Bob" Farmer (D)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
James R. Comer (R)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

NKRTL-PAC POLICY REGARDING ENDORSEMENTS AND/OR RECOMMENDATIONS

The longstanding policy of NKRTL-PAC regarding candidates for public office is clear, and consistent:

1. NKRTL sends to each candidate a list of questions comprehensively covering the core sanctity of all innocent human life issues, with a detailed explanatory cover letter, explaining NKRTL's position regarding each issue, and the reasons and authoritative citations for such positions.
2. NKRTL explains that it will faithfully publish the candidate's responses, or non-responses.
3. NKRTL advises the candidates that a non-response can only be interpreted as unfavorable in that they either oppose the Pro-Life positions, or are disinterested in these vital issues. If you received a candidate questionnaire from Right to Life and were advised that they would publish your responses in their newsletters to thousands of dedicated and militant Pro-Lifers, can you imagine any logical reason you would ignore the questionnaire – if you were really Pro-Life?!?
4. NKRTL publishes as much as it knows about the public record and statements of the candidates on these vital issues.
5. NKRTL-PAC never **endorses** a candidate unless his or her answers are 100 percent Pro-Life, and it reserves the right to make an endorsement, or recommendation, or take no formal action at all.
6. NKRTL-PAC sometimes makes a **recommendation** of a candidate, after a full disclosure of his positions, if his responses are not 100 percent Pro-Life but stand him materially above the other candidates who have answered the questionnaire.

Based upon these criteria, NKRTL-PAC publishes its conclusions and comments regarding the candidates in this May 17, 2011, primary election in Kentucky.

Northern Kentucky Right to Life Political Action Committee's Endorsements and/or Recommendations of some candidates in the **upcoming general election of November 8, 2011**, are based not only on the stated public positions of the candidates, including their answers to NKRTL's questionnaire, but also their past public records: Based upon the firm belief that what one has done is entitled to significantly more consideration than what one says ("By their fruits, ye shall know them ..." Matthew 7:16).

NKRTL undertakes to publish what it knows of the public record of these candidates, whether they are incumbents or those seeking office for the first time.

The gravity of the surgical slaughter of 3,400 innocent children each day — one every 26 seconds — demands that we ask of every citizen, particularly those aspiring to public office: "What are you doing to stop the killing?"

If a candidate supported terrorism, would you bother to ask him where he stands on other issues???

NKRTL must point out to the reader that some candidates have taken it upon themselves to modify our questions, thereby failing to answer them specifically, and indeed making exceptions of their own, while simultaneously attempting to answer "yes," to attempt to present a Pro-Life position. Since the candidate has not answered "no," and their response cannot be truly reported as "yes" after they have modified or imposed conditions of their own, NKRTL has appropriately reported those evasive answers with an asterisk (*). They failed to answer the questions as asked. Such action by the candidate deprives the voter of information on the candidate's position on the issues which the candidate avoided answering.

GOVERNOR
LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

The Democratic candidates, Beshear and Abramson, have strong and open and consistent pro-abortion public records, and have refused to answer the questionnaire.

The Republican candidates, **David L. Williams and Richie Farmer**, both made 100% Pro-Life answers to the questionnaire. In addition, Mr. Williams has a strong and long Pro-Life record as a member of the Kentucky legislature for many years. Accordingly, **NKRTL-PAC endorses the ticket of Williams and Farmer.**

SECRETARY OF STATE

Based upon his 100% Pro-Life answers to the questionnaire, **NKRTL-PAC endorses Bill Johnson (R)** over his Democratic opponent, who chose not to disclose his positions on these critical issues.

ATTORNEY GENERAL

Democratic candidate **Jack Conway** has a long and strong public pro-abortion record. His Republican opponent, **Todd P'Pool**, although he has not held public office, has made 100% Pro-Life answers to the questionnaire, and has a strong Pro-Life record in other public activities of his personal life. **NKRTL-PAC endorses Todd P'Pool (R)**.

AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

Based upon his 100% Pro-Life questionnaire answers, **NKRTL-PAC endorses John T. Kemper III (R)** over his opponent, who chose not to disclose his positions on these critical issues.

COMMISSIONER OF AGRICULTURE

NKRTL-PAC endorses in the Republican Primary James R. Comer (R), who made 100% Pro-Life answers to the questionnaire, and in addition has a strong and long Pro-Life record as a multi-year member of the Kentucky state legislature.

“THE DISQUALIFYING ISSUE”

“Suppose we learn that our favorite legislator is an embezzler. On other matters he continues to advocate good social programs. Perhaps he is compulsive with regard to embezzlement and no sin is involved. Nevertheless, would he not lose our support immediately? Would anyone even think of arguing that ‘embezzlement is only one issue’? “In the objective order, which is more serious — acting in such a way as to steal thousands of dollars (embezzlement) or acting in such a way as to take millions of lives (abortion)?

“Suppose that our favorite legislator suddenly announces his support of legalized child abuse. He claims that ‘it will go on anyway, and we might as well do it in hospitals — under conditions that are safe for the parents.’ Would he not lose our vote?

“Imagine that — in a throwback to the 19th Century — our legislator decides that slavery should not be prohibited. ‘Whether whites or blacks are equal is a decision that everyone must make for himself. We should not impose our personal morality on those who see nothing wrong with slavery.’ Would support of slavery not be a disqualifying issue?

“It might be argued that the above examples are extreme. But are they? In the objective order, abortion is as great an evil as the examples mentioned above.

“It should be observed that pro-life people are not saying — as critics of Right to Life sometimes erroneously claim — that abortion is the ‘only’ issue that should be taken into account. What we are saying is that support of abortion should disqualify a legislator from receiving our vote — no matter what stands he takes on other questions.

“Thus, we usually will not vote for a man ‘only’ because he is honest. We will probably check for other qualities. But, if he is an embezzler, that in itself disqualifies him. In the same way, we usually will not vote for legislators ‘only’ because they oppose child abuse and slavery. But, if the opposite is true — and they support child abuse and slavery — that in itself would be a disqualifying issue.

“IF ONLY the concept of the ‘disqualifying issue’ were understood and applied by all those who oppose abortion! If that happened, we would have a Human Life Amendment tomorrow.”

ELLEN C. McCORMACK, Pro-Life presidential candidate (1976 and 1980)

ENDORSEMENTS OF NKRTL-PAC: NOV. 8, 2011 GENERAL ELECTION

TAKE THIS WITH YOU WHEN YOU VOTE!!

Endorsed:

✓ GOVERNOR/LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR:
David L. Williams (R)/Richie Farmer (R)

✓ ATTORNEY GENERAL: Todd P'Pool (R)

✓ SECRETARY OF STATE: Bill Johnson (R)

✓ AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS: JOHN E. KEMPER III (R)

✓ COMMISSIONER OF AGRICULTURE: James R. Comer (R)

**AN ABORTED
GIFT FROM
GOD**